ONTARIO MASSIVE HEMORRHAGE PROTOCOL

A LOOK AT THE LAST 5 YEARS: RESULTS OF PROVINCIAL SURVEY

Chantalle Grant MD, MSc, FRCSC, DRCPSC Trauma and Acute Care Surgeon (locum) Vancouver General Hospital





St. Michael's Inspired Care. Inspiring Science.

Vancouver CoastalHealth



Background



Hemorrhage:

 Leading cause of preventable death in trauma, pregnancy, and nontrauma surgery ^{1,2}

Massive Hemorrhage Protocols (MHPs)

- Framework of expedited protocols and optimized, standardized systems^{3,4.5}
- Damage control principles
- Predefined ratio of blood products

¹Tien et al, 2007 ²Kauvar et al, 2006 ³Chin et al, 2019 ⁴Cannon et al, 2017 ⁵Petrosoniak et al, 2023

Background

MHPs + Damage Control Resuscitation:

- Improved patient survival¹⁻⁴
- Potentially decreased use of blood products^{2, 4}
- Reduced blood wastage^{3, 5}
- Decreased length of stay⁵
- Decreased ICU length of stay⁵

MHPs alone:

- Reduced post-resuscitation complications⁶
- Expedite access to transfusions + surgical management^{6,9}
- Decreased variability in treatment⁶
- Improved interdisciplinary communication⁷
- Facilitates quality improvement processes⁸
- Compliance with MHPs improves patient outcomes¹⁰
- ¹Cotton et al, 2009 ²Cotton et al, 2011 ³Duchesne et al, 2010 ⁴Riskin et al, 2009 ⁵Khan et al, 2013 ⁶Mothukuri et al, 2015 ⁷Milligan et al, 2009 ⁸ Cotton et al, 2009 ⁹Callum et al, 2019

Background

In a 2016 U.S. AAST member survey, 98.4% of responding hospitals had an MHP¹

- Improved from 88% in 2008
- Mostly Level 1 and 2 trauma centres

Canadian National Standards Council recommends all hospitals that transfuse blood should have a protocol in place for urgent transfusions³

> ¹Etchill et al, 2017 ³Dzik et al, 2022

2018 Survey



Massive hemorrhage protocol survey: Marked variability and absent in one-third of hospitals in Ontario, Canada



Victoria Chin^a, Stephanie Cope^b, Calvin Hsiung Yeh^c, Troy Thompson^b, Barto Nascimento^{a,e}, Katerina Pavenski^{d,f}, Jeannie Callum^{a,d,*}, on behalf of the QUEST Research Group

^a Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, Toronto, Canada

^bOntario Regional Blood Coordinating Network, Toronto, Canada

^cDivision of Emergency Medicine, Department of Medicine, University of Toronto, Canada

^dLaboratory Medicine and Pathology, University of Toronto, Canada

e Department of Surgery, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, Canada

^fSt. Michael's Hospital, Toronto, Canada

2018 Survey

In Ontario, Canada, in 2018, only 65% of Ontario hospitals had an MHP¹



2018 Ontario MHP Data

2018 Survey

Significant variability between Ontario MHPs:

- Activation criteria present in 85% of MHPs
- Lab testing variability (37% drew bloodwork at discretion of physician only)
- Temperature monitoring (65% of protocols)
- Blood pack components (61% issued blood in pre-defined packs)
- Only 32% tracked quality metrics



Provincial Massive Hemorrhage Toolkit

Steering committee:

 Canadian Blood services, ORBCoN, transfusion medicine specialists, trauma physicians

Consensus MHP Provincial Toolkit

Modified Delphi Technique

156-page toolkit launched April 2021

• www.transfusionontario.org

Just the facts: Massive Hemorrhage Protocol

a 7Ts of massive hemorrhage protocol.

Trigger massive transfusion protocol

Use a combination of clinical judgement, decision tools and response to treatment to activate an MHP.

Team preparation

Early notification and preparation of the extended interdisciplinary team: ED team, core lab, blood bank, hematology, surgeons and porters.

Tranexamic acid

Administer IV 2g TXA <3hr from injury (preferably <1hr).

Test hourly

3)

5)

6

Standardized laboratory testing done at baseline and hourly until protocol termination. CBC, group & screen, INR/aPTT, fibrinogen, ABG/VBG, iCa, lactate and electrolytes.

Transfuse to target

Transfuse using a 2:1 RBC:plasma ratio. Target SBP >80-90mmHg or cerebral perfusion. Switch to lab guided transfusion when available.

Temperature management

Measure temperature within 15min of patient arrival or MHP activation. Target temperature >35 $^{\rm o}$ C.

Terminate the protocol

Terminate protocol when hemostasis is achieved, hemodynamics and laboratory profile improves, or vasopressor requirements cease.

b

Objective MHP triggers



Figure 1c.

Standard approach to blood box configuration



Cooler 1. 4U (units) RBCs



Cooler 2. 4U RBCs, 4U plasma (4U RBC, 2000 IU PCCs, 4g fibrinogen)



Cooler 3. 4U RBCs, 2U plasma, 4g fibrinogen (4U RBC, 2000 IU PCCs, 4g fibrinogen)

Modifications for resource constrained institutions *Platelets should be transfused when platelets <50 x 10⁹/L.

By Dr. Andrew Petrosoniak, Dr. Winny Li and Dr. Christopher Hicks

Follow-Up Survey: Methods

82 question web-based survey

- Short answer and multiple choice
- Divided into nine categories:
 - Demographics, activation criteria, communication, bloodwork, test availability, temperature management, transport containers, transfusion medicine support, and quality metrics tracked

Sent to 159 hospitals

- 158 in Ontario, 1 in Nunavut
- Completion by May 26, 2023
- Follow up by phone if not received

Descriptive Statistics + Chi Squared Analysis (p<0.05)

Results

Ontario MHPs in 2018 = 97

• 65% of hospitals

Ontario MHPs in 2023 = 122

77% of hospitals

p=0.02

Net increase of 25 hospitals with MHPs (Note that 9 new hospitals included in new study)

Change in Ontario MHPs from 2018 to 2023



Results

% of Hospitals by Size with an MHP in 2018 and 2023

· - - - ·



■2018 ■2023







- -

Ontario hospitals with MHPs (A) and hospitals without MHPs (B)

Results



Other Results

+ Massive Transfusion Protocols (MTPs):

- Defined as a set number of blood products in a 24hour period
 - (often > 10 units of blood product in a 24hour period)

In 2018: 68% were called Massive Transfusion Protocols

Massive Hemorrhage Protocols (MHPs):

- Damage control principles
- Predefined ratio of blood products
- Framework of expedited protocols and optimized, standardized systems

In 2023: 11% were called Massive Transfusion Protocols

> Ontario MHP Toolkit Chin et al, 2019 Cannon et al, 2017

Other Results: Activation and Termination Criteria

- No significant difference in % of MHPs with activation criteria
 - Significant increase in sites using hemodynamic parameters for activation criteria
 - Only 7% still using "physician discretion" as their only criteria
 - Significant increase in sites with overhead page for activation (70%)
 - Majority of overhead page called "Code Transfusion"
- Termination criteria Not assessed in 2018
 - 61% now have termination criteria
 - 65% have provisions for transfer out patients

Other Results: Predefined packs



2018 – 59 sites used pre-defined packs (61%)* 2023 – 100 sites used pre-defined packs (82%)*

Other Results: Pediatrics

- 101 Hospitals with MHPs (83%) provided care for pediatric patients
- Only 56 hospitals included pediatric patients in their MHP (55% of hospitals that provide care for children)
 - No comparison data from 2018
 - Ontario MHP does include provisions for pediatric patients



Other Results: Consent and Quality Control

- Consent
 - MHP with provision for patient/SDM notification of activation and potential adverse effects: 46 sites (37%)

Quality Control

- Multidisciplinary debriefs have decreased from 66 sites (68%) to 51 sites (43%)
- Quality metrics tracked has increased from 30 sites (31%) to 54 sites (45%)

| | ~ | _ |
|--|---|---|
| | | _ |
| | | |
| | V | |

Discussion

Overall:

- Significant improvement to number of MHPs in Ontario (77% of hospitals)
- Even more promising: 93% of sites working on implementing an MHP

Change in Ontario MHPs from 2018 to 2023



Discussion

Study Limitations

- Self reported data
- Compliance to existing protocols unknown

• Areas for improvement for sites with an MHP:

- Reduce variability in compliance and standardization
- Pediatric provisions for sites that see pediatrics
- Quality metrics

Discussion

Barriers to Implementation:

- Rural sites low volume of transfusion
- Lack of support, funding, and dedicated time outside of clinical duties
- COVID-related delays in implementation

Future Directions:

- Continue to facilitate implementation of provincial MHP and address barriers
- Qualitative analysis of barriers to implementation



Acknowledgements

Andrew Petrosoniak

Stephanie Cope

Omar Hajjaj

Jeannie Callum

Clara Callum

Katerina Pavenski

Kimmo Murto

Troy Thompson

Liying Zhang





St. Michael's Inspired Care. Inspiring Science.





References

1. Spinella PC. Zero preventable deaths after traumatic injury: An achievable goal. Journal of Trauma and Acute Care Surgery 2017;82(6S)

2. Kauvar DS LR, Wade CE Impact of Hemorrhage on Trauma Outcome: An Overview of Epidemiology, Clinical Presentations, and Therapeutic Considerations. *The Journal of Trauma: Injury, Infection, and Critical Care* 2006;60(6):S3-S11. doi: doi: 10.1097/01.ta.0000199961.02677.19

3. Tien HC, Spencer F, Tremblay LN, et al. Preventable Deaths From Hemorrhage at a Level I Canadian Trauma Center. Journal of Trauma and Acute Care Surgery 2007;62(1)

4. Creanga AA, Syverson C, Seed K, et al. Pregnancy-Related Mortality in the United States, 2011–2013. Obstetrics and gynecology (New York 1953) 2017;130(2):366-73. doi: 10.1097/AOG.00000000002114

5. Farooq N, Galiatsatos P, Aulakh JK, et al. Massive transfusion practice in non-trauma related hemorrhagic shock. Journal of Critical Care 2018;43:65-69. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcrc.2017.08.033

6. Hess JR, Holcomb JB, Hoyt DB. Damage control resuscitation: the need for specific blood products to treat the coagulopathy of trauma. Transfusion 2006;46(5):685-86. doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1537-2995.2006.00816.x

7. Holcomb JB, Tilley BC, Baraniuk S, et al. Transfusion of Plasma, Platelets, and Red Blood Cells in a 1:1:1 vs a 1:1:2 Ratio and Mortality in Patients With Severe Trauma: The PROPPR Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA : the journal of the American Medical Association 2015;313(5):471-82. doi: 10.1001/jama.2015.12

8. Spinella PC, Holcomb JB. Resuscitation and transfusion principles for traumatic hemorrhagic shock. Blood Reviews 2009;23(6):231-40. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.blre.2009.07.003

9. Trudeau JD DP, Shih AW. . Clinical Guide to Transfusion: Canadian Blood Services 2021.

10. Cannon JW, Khan MA, Raja AS, et al. Damage control resuscitation in patients with severe traumatic hemorrhage: A practice management guideline from the Eastern Association for the Surgery of Trauma and Acute Care Surgery 2017;82(3):605-17. doi: 10.1097/ta.000000000001333

11. Callum JL, Yeh CH, Petrosoniak A, et al. A regional massive hemorrhage protocol developed through a modified Delphi technique. CMAJ Open 2019;7(3):E546-E61. doi: 10.9778/cmajo.20190042

12. Chin V, Cope S, Yeh CH, et al. Massive hemorrhage protocol survey: Marked variability and absent in one-third of hospitals in Ontario, Canada. Injury 2019;50(1):46-53. doi: 10.1016/j.injury.2018.11.026

13. Cryer HG NA, Bulger EM, Calland JF, Cohen JM, Cotton BA, Davis ML, Hemmila MR, Hess JR, Jawa R, Kozar R, Minei J, Pavenski K, Schreiber M, Spinella PC, Ingraham AM, Moore HB. ACS TQIP Massive transfusion in trauma guidelines: American College of Surgeons Trauma Quality Improvement Program 2014.

14. Callum JL KP, et al. Provincial Massive Hemorrhage Toolkit. Ontario, Canada: Ontario Regional Blood Coordinating Network (ORBCON), 2021.

15. Cotton BA, Au BK, Nunez TC, et al. Predefined Massive Transfusion Protocols are Associated With a Reduction in Organ Failure and Postinjury Complications. Journal of Trauma and Acute Care Surgery 2009;66(1)

16. Cotton BA, Reddy N, Hatch QM, et al. Damage Control Resuscitation Is Associated With a Reduction in Resuscitation Volumes and Improvement in Survival in 390 Damage Control Laparotomy Patients. Annals of Surgery 2011;254(4)

17. Duchesne JC, Kimonis K, Marr AB, et al. Damage Control Resuscitation in Combination With Damage Control Laparotomy: A Survival Advantage. Journal of Trauma and Acute Care Surgery 2010;69(1)

18. Riskin DJ, Tsai TC, Riskin L, et al. Massive transfusion protocols: the role of aggressive resuscitation versus product ratio in mortality reduction. J Am Coll Surg 2009;209(2):198-205. doi: 10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2009.04.016 [published Online First: 2009/07/28]

19. Khan S, Allard S, Weaver A, et al. A major haemorrhage protocol improves the delivery of blood component therapy and reduces waste in trauma massive transfusion. Injury 2013;44(5):587-92. doi: 10.1016/j.injury.2012.09.029

20. Mothukuri R, Battle C, Guy K, et al. The implementation of massive haemorrhage protocol (MHP) for the management of major trauma: a ten year, single centre study of patient outcomes. *Emergency medicine journal : EMJ* 2015;32(12):991-91. doi: 10.1136/emermed-2015-205372.32

21. Milligan C, Higginson I, Smith JE. Emergency department staff knowledge of massive transfusion for trauma: the need for an evidence based protocol. Emergency medicine journal : EMJ 2011;28(10):870-72. doi: 10.1136/emj.2009.088138

22. Cotton BA, Dossett LA, Au BK, et al. Room for (Performance) Improvement: Provider-Related Factors Associated With Poor Outcomes in Massive Transfusion. The journal of trauma 2009;67(5):1004-12. doi: 10.1097/TA.0b013e3181bcb2a8

22. Treml A GJ, Dutton R, Scavone B. Massive Transfusion Protocols: A Survey of Academic Medical Centers in the United States. Anesthesia & Analgesia 2017;124(1):277-81. doi: doi: 10.1213/ANE.00000000001610

23. Thomasson RR, Yazer MH, Gorham JD, et al. International assessment of massive transfusion protocol contents and indications for activation. Transfusion 2019;59(5):1637-43. doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/trf.15149

24. Hoyt DB, Dutton RP, Hauser CJ, et al. Management of coagulopathy in the patients with multiple injuries: results from an international survey of clinical practice. The journal of trauma 2008;65(4):755-64. doi: 10.1097/TA.0b013e318185fa9f

25. Etchill E, Sperry J, Zuckerbraun B, et al. The confusion continues: results from an American Association for the Surgery of Trauma survey on massive transfusion practices among United States trauma centers: Survey of massive transfusion practices. *Transfusion* (*Philadelphia*, *Pa*) 2016;56(10):2478-86. doi: 10.1111/trf.13755

27. Dzik WH, Blajchman MA, Fergusson D, et al. Clinical review: Canadian National Advisory Committee on Blood and Blood Products - Massive Transfusion Consensus Conference 2011: Report of the panel. Critical care (London, England) 2011;15(6):242-42. doi: 10.1186/cc10498

27. Bawazeer M, Ahmed N, Izadi H, et al. Compliance with a massive transfusion protocol (MTP) impacts patient outcome. Injury 2015;46(1):21-28. doi: 10.1016/j.injury.2014.09.020

28. Petrosoniak A, Pavenski K, da Luz LT, et al. Massive Hemorrhage Protocol: A Practical Approach to the Bleeding Trauma Patient. *Emerg Med Clin North Am* 2023;41(1):51-69. doi: 10.1016/j.emc.2022.09.010 [published Online First: 2022/11/25] 29. Spahn, DR, Bouillon B, Cerny V, et al. The European guideline on management of major bleeding and coagulopathy following trauma: fifth edition. *Crit Care* **23**, 98(2019): https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054019-2347-3